

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCY United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,697	04/18/2005	Masahiro Yamakawa	050395-0342	1405
20277 7590 09/25/2007 MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP		EXAMINER		
600 13TH STREET, N.W.			KOPEC, MARK T	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005-3096		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1751	
•		·		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/531,697	YAMAKAWA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mark Kopec	.1751			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	•	action is non-final.				
/=	Since this application is in condition for allowar		osecution as to the merits is			
· ·	closed in accordance with the practice under E	•				
Dispositi	on of Claims					
	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.					
· ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) <u>5-9</u> is/are objected to.					
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
		_				
	The specification is objected to by the Examine		Everniner			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11).	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)[\infty]	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).			
_	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		, , , , ,			
, -	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
			• .			
Attaches	Vo)					
Attachment	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate			
3) X Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5)	Patent Application			

Art Unit: 1751

This application is a 371 of OCT/JP04/10286 (filed 07/13/04). Claims 1-9 are currently pending.

Claims 5-9 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from another multiple dependent claims. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, applicant should specify if the recited weight percentages of particles (A) and (B) are "of the total solids", "of the total metal particles", or something else.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

<sup>(</sup>b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1751

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v**. *John Deere*Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in

Application/Control Number: 10/531,697

Art Unit: 1751

order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Matsuba et al WO 02/035554.

Note that U.S. 2004/0004209 is relied upon as the English language equivalent of WO '554.

Matsuba discloses a low-temperature sintering conductive paste for high density circuit printing which can form a fine circuit having good adhesive force, a smooth surface and low resistance when applied on a substrate and then baked; the conductive paste of the invention uses, as conductive media, in combination with metal fillers having an average particle diameter of 0.5 to 20 μm, ultrafine metal particles having an average particle diameter of not larger than 100 nm (Abstract; para 0017-0019, 0023, 0026). Metals include gold, silver, copper, nickel and palladium (para 0066), and Matsuba additionally teaches:

in addition to the resin component acting as an organic binder, for the purpose of improving adhesive force to a surface as of a printed substrate, the varnish-like resin composition may comprise proper amounts of such components as a silane coupling agent, a titanium coupling agent, a glass resin and a glass frit according to the subjected base. Further, a leveling agent that is generally used in a

Art Unit: 1751

conductive paste may also be added as required. In addition, to obtain a conductive paste having viscosity advantageous to its coating activity, a diluting solvent may be added to the varnish-like resin composition in advance. [0093] The content of these resin components contained in the varnish-like resin composition may be selected as appropriate according to the total bulk of the ultrafine metal particles and the proportion of gap spaces present among these particles. In general, its content is selected from a range of 1 to 30 parts by weight, preferably of 3 to 20 parts by weight, based on 100 parts by weight of the ultrafine metal particles.

The reference specifically or inherently meets each of the claimed limitations.

In the event that any minor modifications are necessary to meet the claimed limitations, such as selection of a particular glass frit material, such modifications are well within the purview of the skilled artisan.

In view of the foregoing, the above claims have failed to patentably distinguish over the applied art.

The remaining references listed on forms 892 and 1449 have been reviewed by the examiner and are considered to be cumulative to or less material than the prior art references relied upon in the rejection above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Kopec whose telephone number is (571) 272-1319. The examiner

Application/Control Number: 10/531,697

Page 6

Art Unit: 1751

can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Douglas McGinty can be reached on (571) 272-1029. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> /Mark Kopec/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1751

MK September 3, 2007